Why Cardiac Rehabilitation Really Matters



Heart disease is a lifelong condition that needs ongoing management. Cardiac rehabilitation is a critical step in a heart patient's journey.

Cardiac Rehabilitation (CR) benefits patients



26% reduction in mortality 18% reduction in readmissions¹ Improved quality of life

Referral is essential

All eligible patients must be offered referral to a CR Service which best suits their individual needs, as soon as possible after diagnosis or before discharge from hospital, including a referral to a GP for long term care.²

Uptake of CR remains low, fewer than 30% of eligible patients participate.³



CR reduces costs

Increasing participation by 65% attendance nationally per annum could result in:

\$35.5m

savings in health care costs fewer

myocardial infarction admissions4

Patients are missing out

Resons for low participation:

- lack of referral from in-patient services
- lack of a referral from the patient's cardiologist
- competing work & home responsibilities
- distance and transportation
- lack of CR service availablity

An option to suit every patient

Flexible services will facilitate increased participation









- Facility-based exercise, education and psychosocial components or a combination of services
- Home-based services
- Telephone-based services
- Mobile phone and internet based services

Heart Attack Survivor Survey

Almost 2 in 3 (65%) patients reported they were not advised by staff to attend CR. Benefits reported for those who did attend:



- Encouragement to make healthier lifestyle choices
- Provided an understanding of the emotions/fears they faced
- Reduction in their anxiety/ depression⁵
- Anderson L, et al. Exercise Based Cardiac Rehabilitation for Coronary Heart Disease: Cochrane Systematic Review and Meta Analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016 Jan 5; 67(1):1 12. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2015.10.044
- 2. Woodruffe S et al. Australian Cardiovascular Health and Rehabilitation Association (ARCA) Core Components of Cardiovascular Disease Secondary Prevention and Cardiac Rehabilitation 2014. Heart, Ling and Circulation (2015)
- 3. Clark RA, Conway A, Poulsen V, et al. Alternative models of cardiac rehabilitation: a systematic review. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2013; 0 (00), 1 40
- 4. Heart Foundation, Data and Evaluation Unit. Unpublished report 2015
- 5. Heart Foundation Heart Attack Survivor Survey 2015