







QA activity: Palliative pathway for HARP CHF patients-is there an unmet need?

ACRA presentation: August 2017

Kristina Asker

RN, Bach App Sci, Master of Nursing; Nurse Practitioner, Grad Cert Nutrition, Grad Dip Health Promotion

Dr Dominica Zentner

MBBS (Hons), FRACP, PhD. Cardiologist, Royal Melbourne Hospital

Background

- Chronic heart failure (HF) has an annual mortality of 19% (Heart Foundation, 2016).
- Incurable and life limiting disease, increasing recognition of the need for high quality palliative care (Sandesh ,et al. 2016).
- Recent studies (US) identified a disparity:
 - ½ HF patients reported preference for home terminal care
 - However, only 25% of US adults 65 years or older diagnosed with HF die in their homes (Sandesh, et al, 2017).
- There is growing emphasis on the need for high quality patient centred palliative care for patients with heart failure
- One of the challenges- how do we identify these patients?

Rationale and Aims

- To explore EOL care in a HARP HF population
- Aims:
 - 1. Whether a validated tool predicted mortality in this group (REF)
 - 2. HARP service documentation of End of Life (EOL) wishes
 - 3. HARP referral to palliative care

Additional Patient Variables assessed

- Live alone? Y or N
- Documentation of EOL discussion and wishes
- Referral to palliative care? Y or N
- Albumin
- eGFR
- In deceased patients, place of death location and consistency with wish if expressed

What is HARP?

- HARP = Hospital Admission Risk Program.
 - Provides care in the community for patients identified to be at high risk for readmission by disease focused teams e.g. HF, COPD etc

- This program is:
 - State funded
 - Offers individual HF Nurse Specialist follow-up at home
 - Provides Cardiologist assessment in a community setting
 - Offers Centre and home based exercise program options
 - Ongoing liaison between hospital, specialist, GP & other allied health professionals with regard to patient management

Can we predict survival in HF?

- Predicting survival in heart failure: a risk score. Based on 39,372 patients from 30 studies (EHJ, Pocock et al 2013)
 - Meta-analysis patients with both HFrEF and HFpEF
 - Allows calculation of risk using a model accessible on the website <u>www.heartfailurerisk.org</u> (calculates an Integer score, ranging from 0-50)
 - Supported by the Heart Foundation

Heart Failure Risk Calculator

Maggic Meta-analysis Global Group in Heart Failure

If we provide leading and the set of the set we reduce the set were for the set if the set		0 = (
Preprent The Heart Filter Vielant Reference Name or ID Age (16.110 Gender Gender Diabetes Yes No Integer score: 36 Heart failure diagnosed Yes No Risk of dying within 1 year: 39.8% Current smoker Yes No Risk of dying within 1 year: 39.8% NYHA Class Image: Presenter Score: 36 Risk of dying within 3 years: 72.5% Receives ACEL/ARB Yes No The patient is in the 10 th decile of risk in a heart failure populat Systolic blood pressure 50.250 mmHg Gender Systolic blood pressure 50.250 Ejection fraction 1.455 % % State Score: 5%	Edit View Favorites Tools Help	2.0		
Patient Reference Name or ID Age 16-10 Gender Formale V Diabetes Yes No Integer score: 36 Risk of dying within 1 year: Within the last 18 months Risk of dying within 3 years: Current smoker Yes NYHA Class IV Receives beta blockers Yes NYHA Class IV Receives beta blockers Yes BMI 10-50 Systolic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Creatinine Creatinine 20-1400 µmol/L Ejection fraction	Programs The Heart Fou			
Patient Reference Name or ID Age 18-110 Gender Female V Diabetes Yes No Integer score: 36 Risk of dying within 1 year: Within the last 18 months Yes Current smoker Yes NYHA Class Image: Current smoker Yes No Receives beta blockers Yes Receives ACEI/ARB Yes BMI 10-50 calculate BMI 10-50 Receives ACE//ARB Yes Systolic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Creatinine Creatinine 20-1400 umol/L Ejection fraction	Patient Information	on	Return to terms and conditions	~
Age 18-110 Gender Female Diabetes Yes No COPD Yes No Heart failure diagnosed Yes No Within the last 18 months Current smoker Yes No NYHA Class 1 NYHA Class 1 Receives beta blockers Yes No Receives ACEI/ARB Yes No BMI 10-50 kgm² Calculate BMI Creatinine 20-1400 ymolL Ejection fraction 1.65	Patient Reference	Name or ID		
Age Ibititize Gender Female Diabetes Yes Diabetes Yes COPD Yes No Integer score: 36 Risk of dying within 1 year: Systelic blockers Yes NYHA Class Iv Receives beta blockers Yes Yes No Receives beta blockers Yes Systelic blockers Yes BM 10-50 Receives ACEI/ARB Yes Systelic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Creatinine Creatinine 20-1400 umol/L Fjection fraction				
Gender Female Diabetes Yes COPD Yes Heart failure diagnosed Yes Within the last 18 months Integer score: Current smoker Yes NYHA Class Risk of dying within 1 year: NYHA Class Risk of dying within 3 years: Receives beta blockers Yes Ne No BMI 10-50 calculate BMI Systolic blood pressure Systolic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Ejection fraction Ligetion fraction 1.96	Age	18-110		
Diabetes Yes No COPD Yes No Heart failure diagnosed Yes No Within the last 18 months Risk of dying within 1 year: 39.8% Current smoker Yes No NYHA Class Iv Risk of dying within 3 years: 72.5% Receives beta blockers Yes No The patient is in the 10 th decile of risk in a heart failure populat Receives ACEI/ARB Yes No The patient is in the 10 th decile of risk in a heart failure populat BIM 10-50 kg/m² Kg/m² Kg/m² Creatinine 20.1400 µmol/L Immodel Ejection fraction 1.45 % %	Gender	Female ~		
Diabetes Yes COPD Yes No Heart failure diagnosed Yes No Risk of dying within 1 year: 39.8% Risk of dying within 3 years: 72.5% Receives beta blockers Yes No Receives beta blockers Yes No Receives ACEI/ARB Yes No The patient is in the 10 th decile of risk in a heart failure populat Receives ACEI/ARB Yes No BMI 10-50 calculate BMI Systolic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Creatinine 20-1400 µmol/L				
COPD Yes Heart failure diagnosed Yes Within the last 18 months Current smoker Yes NYHA Class Image: Second Stress of	Diabetes	OYes ONo		
Heart failure diagnosed Yes No Heart failure diagnosed Yes No Current smoker Yes No NYHA Class Image: 39.8% Receives beta blockers Yes No Receives ACEI/ARB Yes No BMI 10-50 kg/m² calculate BMI 50-250 mmHg Creatinine 20-1400 µmol/L Ejection fraction 1.96 %	COPD			
Heart failure diagnosed Yes within the last 18 months Current smoker Yes NYHA Class Image: Solution of the second				
within the last 18 months Current smoker Yes NYHA Class 1 Receives beta blockers Yes Yes No Receives ACEI/ARB Yes BMI 10-50 calculate BMI 50-250 mmHg Creatinine 20-1400 µmol/L Ejection fraction 1-95 %	Heart failure diagnosed	OYes ONo	Integer score: 3	36
Current smoker Yes NYHA Class 1 Receives beta blockers Yes Receives ACEI/ARB Yes BMI 10-50 calculate BMI 10-50 calculate BMI Creatinine 20-1400 µmol/L	within the last 18 months			
NYHA Class Image: Control of the	Current smoker	O Yes O No	Risk of dying within 1 year: 3	39.8%
NYHA Class Receives beta blockers Yes Receives ACEI/ARB Yes No The patient is in the 10 th decile of risk in a heart failure populat BMI 10-50 kg/m² Systolic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Creatinine 20-1400 µmol/L Ejection fraction 1-95 %				
Receives beta blockers Yes No The patient is in the 10 th decile of risk in a heart failure populat BMI 10-50 kg/m² Systolic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Creatinine 20-1400 µmol/L Ejection fraction 1-95	NYHA Class	1~	Risk of dying within 3 years: 7	72.5%
BMI 10-50 kg/m² Calculate BMI Systolic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Ejection fraction 1-95 %	Descine hets blockers			
BMI 10-50 kg/m² Systolic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Creatinine 20-1400 µmol/L Ejection fraction 1-95 %	Receives beta blockers	O Yes O NO	The patient is in the 10 th decile of r	isk in a heart failure population
BMI 10-50 kg/m² calculate BMI	Receives ACEi/ARB	OYes ONo		
BMI 10-50 kg/m² Calculate BMI wmHg Systolic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Creatinine 20-1400 μmol/L Ejection fraction 1-95 %				
Systolic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Creatinine 20-1400 µmol/L Ejection fraction 1-95 %	BMI calculate BMI	10-50	kg/m²	
Systolic blood pressure 50-250 mmHg Creatinine 20-1400 μmol/L Ejection fraction 1-95 %				
Creatinine 20-1400 µmol/L Ejection fraction 1-95 %	Systolic blood pressure	50-250	mmHg	
Creatinine 20-1400 μmol/L Ejection fraction 1-95 %				
Ejection fraction 1-95 %	Creatinine	20-1400	µmol/L	
	Eiestion frastion	1.05	0/	
		1-90	70	
Calculate Bick	Calculate Dick		Clear the data	~

Methodology

- Consecutive population of patients (n=163, Jan 2014-July 2015) accepted into HARP following a CHF admission
- Ascertained:
 - 1. Score of a validated mortality risk stratification tool (Meta-Analysis Global Group (MAGGIC) Integer Score was calculated (Pocock, et al 2013))
 - 2. Collected additional clinical patient variables
 - 3. Documented whether EOL discussion was undertaken and wishes documented
 - 4. Collected data on referral to palliative care

Data collection



Descriptive statistics for baseline variables

	Alive (n= 75)		Died (n= 19)	
Integer score, median (IQR)	29		32	
Age (median, IQR)	80		83	
Gender, F: M %	42 33	(M)56% (F)44%	11 8	(M)57.8% (F)42.1%
Lives alone, n (%)	23	30.6%	6	31.5 %
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²) (median, IQR)	27		26	
Current smoker, %	8	10.6%	4	21.0%
HFpEF %	33	44%	8	36.8%
HFrEF %	42	56%	11	57.8%
Ejection fraction % (median, IQR)	50%		45%	
NYHA Class I , n (%)	0	0	1	36.8%
NYHA Class II , n (%)	32	42%	8	68.4%
NYHA Class III , n (%)	40	53%	8	42.1%
NYHA Class IV , n (%)	3	4%	2	10.5%
Creatinine , (median, IQR)	115		169	
EGFR (median, IQR)	47		27	
Albumin (median, IQR)	31		33	
Diabetes, n (%)	42	56%	11	57.8%
COPD, n (%)	24	32%	10	52.6%
Hypertension, n (%)	50	66.6%	11	57.8%
Beta Blocker, %	54	72%	13	68.4%
ACE / ARB's, %	59	66.6%	11	57.8%

Predicted risk of dying (within 3 years) in our cohort



Albumin, EGFR, & Integer Score



Of those recruited patients:

The **MAGGIC** tool and specific patient variables are used to explore whether they may assist our patients to:

- Initiate discussion re EOL wishes and preferred place of death
- identify those who would benefit from referral to a palliative care pathway and
- when, in their CHF trajectory, to refer to palliative care

Deceased patients

		Palliative care referral	Not referred to Palliative Care
		N = 9	N = 10
Type of HF	HFrEF	4	5
	HFpEF	6	2
Place of Death	Hospital	3	9
	Home	4	1
	Hospice	2	
	Not documented	0	1
End of Life wishes discussed	Yes	3	0
	No	3	8
	Not documented	3	2
Advance Care Plan present	Yes	5	5
	No	0	0
	Not documented	4	5

CONCLUSION

Within the deceased cohort:

- older
- Higher number of males
- Slightly higher number of those with diabetes & COPD
- Creatinine was higher
- eGFR slightly lower in the deceased cohort
- Slightly higher number of patients that live alone in the deceased group
 Other observations:
- BMI appeared insignificant between the groups
- NYHA symptoms of class II-III in both cohorts
- 72% of patients had a BB prescribed
- No significant difference in Albumin levels between the 2 cohorts
- Poor documentation of both EOL wishes & ACP

Implications for Practice

Current evidence regarding preferences of patients with HF suggest substantial opportunities for improvement of EOL HF care (Sandesh et, al 2016).

- Use of a validated clinical prediction tool integrated with individual data to improve understanding of a person's illness trajectory
- Initiate discussions with hospital and community palliative care service to develop a referral pathway for these patients
- Improve documentation of EOL wishes.
- Expand the scope of HF nursing care to include EOL care
- Expand scope of hospice and palliative care providers in the EOL care of patients with HF particularly around symptom management

Limitations

- There is a further 41 patients to collate data & obtain Integer score
- This study does not identify / discuss the unique challenge of palliative care for HF which includes the management of implantable devices

With considerable thanks to :

- Dr Dominica Zentner, Cardiologist, Melbourne Health
- Peita Price, Team Leader Heartwise Team
- Fellow Chronic Heart Failure colleagues, HF nurse specialists:
 - Sally Krohn
 - Emma Morris
 - Emily Godfrey
 - Stephanie Brassier
- Abby Yao (physiotherapist)
- Silvana Aliquo- Heartwise Team admin support

• Preferences of people with advanced heart failure – a structure narrative literature review to inform decision making in the palliative care setting

Sandesh Dev, MD, Amy P. Abernethy, MD, Joseph G Rogers, MD and Christopher M. O'Connor, MD Phoenix, AZ and Durham, NC

ClinicalKey.com.au at University of Melbourne August 16, 2016

•Heart Failure Risk Prediction Models. What have We Learned?

Wayne C. Levy, MD Inder S. Anand, MD

JACC Heart Failure 2014 By the American College of Cardiology Foundation Vol 2 No.5 2014

• Use of Risk Models to Predict Death in the Next Year Among Individual Ambulatory Patients With Heart Failure

Larry A. Allen MD, MHS; Daniel D. Matlock, MD, MPH; Susan M. Shetterly, MS: Stanley Xu, PHD, Wayne C. Levy, MD, Laura B. Portalupi, MSW. Colleen K. Mcilvennan, DNP, ANP, Jerry H. Gurwitz, MD. Eric S. Johnson, PHD, MPH; David J. Magid, MD, MPH

JAMA Cardiol 2017: 2 (4)-435-441 doi: 10.1001/ December 21 2016

• Predicting survival in heart failure: a risk score based on 39 372 patients from 30 studies

Stuart J. Pocock, Cono A. Ariti, John J. V. McMurray, Aldo Maggioni, Lars Kober, Iain B. Squires, Karl Swedberg, Joanna Dobson, Katrina K. Pope, Gillian A. Whalley, and Rob N Doughty, on behalf of the Meta-Analysis Global Group in Chronic Heart Failure (MAGGIC) European Heart Journal (2013) 34, 1404-1413 Doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehs337

Palliative care in Heart Failure. The PAL-HF Randomized, Controlled Clinical Trial

Joseph G. Rogers, MD, Chetan, B. Pate, MD, Robert J. Mentz, MD, Bradi B. Granger, PHD MSN RN, Karen E. Steinhauser, PHD, Mona Fiuzat, PHARMD, Patricia A. Adams, BSN, CCRC, Adam Speck, BS, Kimberley S. Johnson, MD, Arun Krishnamoorthy, MD, Hongqiu Yang, PHD, Kevin J. Anstrom, PHD, Gwen C. Dodson, MSN, Donalds H, Taylor, J PHD, MPA, Jerry L. Kirchner, BS, CCRP, Daniel B. Mark, MD, Christopher m. O'Connor, MD James A. Tulsky, MD

Journal of The American College of Cardiology 2017. VOL 70 No3 2017

•Triage After Hospitalization With Advanced Heart Failure

The Escape (Evaluation Study of Congestive Heart Failure and Pulmonary Artery Cauterization Effectiveness) Risk Model and Discharge Score

Christopher M. O'Connor, MD, Vic Hasselblad, PHD, Rajendra H, Mehta, MD, MS Gudaye Tasissa, pHD, Robert M. Califf, MD, Mona Fiuzat, PHARMD, Joseph G. Rogers, MD, Carl V. leier, MD Lynne W. Stevenson MD. Journal of the American College of Cardiology @2010 by the American College of Cardiology of cardiology Foundation Vol. 55 No. 9 2010

•Risk Prediction in Patients With Heart Failure A systemic Review and Analysis

Kazem Rahimi , DM, Derrick Bennett, PHD, Nathalie Conrad, MSc, Timothy M. Williams, MD, Joyee Basu, MD, Jeremy Dwight, MD, Mark Woodward, PHD, Anushka patel, PHD, John Mc Murray, MD, Stephen MacMahon, PHD

JACC Heart Failure The American College of Cardiology Foundation VOL 2 NO5. 2014

•Factors Influencing the Predictive Power of Models for Predicting Mortality and/or Heart Failure Hospitalization in Patients With Heart Failure

Wouter Ouwerkerk, MSc, Adrian A. Voors, MD, PHD, Aeilko H. Zwinderman PHD

JACC Heart Failure. The American College of Cardiology Foundation Vol 2 No 5. 2014

•2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure – Wb Addenda The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) European Heart Journal doi:10, 1093/eurheart/ehw128